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SUMMARY

Marine bacteria and archaea play key roles in global biogeochemistry. To improve our understanding of this complex microbiome, we employed single-cell genomics and a randomized, hypothesis-agnostic cell selection strategy to recover 12,715 partial genomes from the tropical and subtropical euphotic ocean. A substantial fraction of known prokaryoplankton coding potential was recovered from a single, 0.4 mL ocean sample, which indicates that genomic information disperses effectively across the globe. Yet, we found each genome to be unique, implying limited clonality within prokaryoplankton populations. Light harvesting and secondary metabolite biosynthetic pathways were numerous across lineages, highlighting the value of single-cell genomics to advance the identification of ecological roles and biotechnology potential of uncultured microbial groups. This genome collection enabled functional annotation and genus-level taxonomic assignments for >80% of individual metagenome reads from the tropical and subtropical surface ocean, thus offering a model to improve reference genome databases for complex microbiomes.

INTRODUCTION

Unicellular, microbial life has been playing a central role in global biogeochemical processes, ecosystem functioning, and the health of multicellular organisms since its emergence >3.5 Gy ago (Falkowski et al., 2008). Traditional, pure culture-based health of multicellular organisms since its emergence >3.5 Gy ago (Falkowski et al., 2008). Traditional, pure culture-based isolation and characterization of unicellular microorganisms have been the predominant approach for microbiology. However, with the rise of next-generation sequencing technologies, cultivation-independent approaches have emerged as powerful tools for exploring the complex microbiomes of the oceans and the entire planet (Oliver et al., 2012; Sunagawa et al., 2015). The taxonomic and functional annotations of metagenomes, using both culture-dependent and culture-independent methods, have provided insights into the biogeochemical processes and ecosystem functions of the planet (Falkowski et al., 2008).

Despite these advances, the comparative sequence analyses of DNA, RNA, and protein that are bulk-extracted from the environment (Handelsman, 2004; Sunagawa et al., 2015). The taxonomic and functional annotation of such metagenomic, metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic data (collectively referred to as “meta-omics”) depends heavily on the availability of suitable reference genomes. Unfortunately, recent studies found that existing reference genomes represent only 5% and 0.4% of gene clusters in human gut (Li et al., 2014) and marine (Sunagawa et al., 2015) metagenomes, respectively. The fraction of individual metagenomic reads that can be recruited on reference genomes ranges from <10% in the ocean to <1% in soils when using a ≥95% average nucleotide identity (ANI) threshold (Nayfach et al., 2016), where ANI in the range of 94%–96% is commonly used as an operational delineator of microbial species (Ciufo et al., 2018; Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Konstantinidis et al., 2006). The paucity of adequate reference genomes remains a major limiting factor in our ability to fully interpret the majority of meta-omics data from most microbiomes.

Novel analytical approaches are being continuously developed to enhance the interpretation of meta-omics data. Improved computational tools for de novo assembly and binning of metagenomic reads into discernable units have revealed the coding potential of many deep lineages of Bacteria and Archaea from increasingly complex microbiomes (Anantharaman et al., 2016; Tyson et al., 2004). However, the representation and accuracy of metagenome bins deteriorates at family and lower taxonomic levels, resulting in frequent chimerism (Sczyrba et al., 2017), likely due to a combination of technical constraints and a high degree of cell-to-cell genomic diversity within the environment. For example, no medium-to-high quality bins could be produced for Candidatus Pelagibacter (SAR11), the most abundant lineage of marine planktonic bacteria, from global sets of shotgun metagenomes (Delmont et al., 2018; Tully et al., 2018). Furthermore, genomic bins from metagenomes often lack rRNA operons, impairing their taxonomic positioning in the context of rRNA-based phylogeny (Anantharaman et al., 2016; Delmont et al., 2018; Tyson et al., 2004).

Single-cell genomics is an alternative approach for cultivation-independent recovery of microbial genomes (Ishoey et al., 2008;
tropical latitudes from 40°/C24 to 0°/C14. The surface (epipelagic) ocean in tropical and sub-tropical regions has the largest microbiomes on Earth and has been extensively explored by meta-omics approaches (Falkowski et al., 2008; Giovannoni et al., 1990; Rusch et al., 2007; Sunagawa et al., 2015; Venter et al., 2004). Using 28 epipelagic samples from the tropical and subtropical Atlantic and Pacific oceans, for which complementary metagenomics and targeted single-cell genomics datasets have been reported previously (Berube et al., 2018; Kashtan et al., 2014; Pachiadaki et al., 2017; Rinke et al., 2013; Swan et al., 2013). By processing each genome individually, the risk of errors in de novo genome assembly and the computational cost were minimized relative to metagenome assembly and binning. This study required small sample volumes (0.1 to 0.4 mL) and little processing in the field, which could facilitate the automation of sample collection in the future.

In order to assess the genome-level diversity of marine prokaryoplankton, we calculated the pairwise ANI among the 4,741 GORG-Tropics genomes with >50% estimated completion. Most ANI values were <80%, indicating that few of the prokaryoplankton cells were closely related (Figure 1A). Only ~9.500 (0.08%) of the >11 million genome pairs were found to belong to the same, nominal “species,” as defined by the >96% ANI cutoff that was recently adopted by the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (Ciufo et al., 2018). This highlights a disconnect between the current, nominal definitions of microbial species on the one hand and the natural, yet poorly understood patterns of genomic variability and microevolution in marine prokaryoplankton and other microbiomes.

None of the genomes were identical to each other at the nucleotide level. Only 121 genome pairs (0.001%), 119 of which came from GORG-BATS248, had an ANI >99.9%. In these 121 pairs, the rate of nucleotide substitutions exceeded the rate of methodological errors (Stepanauskas et al., 2017) by an order of magnitude, and the ratio of their non-synonymous versus synonymous substitutions averaged ~0.1, which is indicative of purifying selection and cannot be explained by sequencing or assembly errors. These 121 genome pairs also contained non-syntenic regions that encompassed entire operons and putative prophages (Figure 1B). We found the same regions with >80% nucleotide identity in multiple other SAGs of the corresponding lineages SAR11 surface group 1 (e.g., AG-913-O18) and S25-593 (e.g., AG-457-K09), but not in other microbial groups, which provides further support for biological origins of these non-syntenic regions rather than methodological artifacts. This vast microdiversity across all lineages of marine prokaryoplankton expands on the prior studies of Prochlorococcus, the most abundant phototroph in the ocean (Kashtan et al., 2014, 2017), as well as other studies of large genome libraries (Good et al., 2017; Shapiro et al., 2012; Wolf et al., 2016). Such genomic variability, which

### Table 1. Overview of the GORG-Tropics Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>GORG-Tropics</th>
<th>GORG-BATS248&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field samples</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample volume analyzed, mL</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGs sequenced</td>
<td>20,288</td>
<td>11,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAG assemblies ≥ 20 kbp</td>
<td>12,715</td>
<td>6,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAG assemblies ≥ 50% completion</td>
<td>4,741</td>
<td>2,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAG assemblies ≥ 80% completion</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGAs with 16S rRNA recovery</td>
<td>5,536</td>
<td>2,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative assembly, Mbp</td>
<td>8,122</td>
<td>4,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average genome recovery, %</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyla of Bacteria and Archaea</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes of Bacteria and Archaea</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orders of Bacteria and Archaea</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families of Bacteria and Archaea</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genera of Bacteria and Archaea</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>GORG-BATS248 is a subset of GORG-Tropics and originates from a single sample from the Sargasso Sea.

### RESULTS

**Prokaryoplankton Genomic Diversity**

Of the 20,288 SGAs generated from the 28 environmental samples (Table S1), 12,715 SGAs (Table S2) produced >20 kbp genome assemblies with no detectable contamination, resulting in a cumulative assembly size of 8.1 Gbp (Table 1). We named this dataset the Global Ocean Reference Genomes Tropics, or GORG-Tropics, database. A subset of 6,236 GORG-Tropics genomes, which we call GORG-BATS248, was obtained from a single, 0.4 mL seawater sample aliquot from the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) station in the Sargasso Sea to assess the coding potential of prokaryoplankton on local versus global scales. On average, we estimate that 38% of each cell’s genome was recovered. The GORG-Tropics database is over an order of magnitude larger than previously reported microbial single-cell genomics datasets (Berube et al., 2018; Kashtan et al., 2014; Pachiadaki et al., 2017; Rinke et al., 2013; Swan et al., 2013). By processing each genome individually, the risk of errors in de novo genome assembly and the computational cost were minimized relative to metagenome assembly and binning. This study required small sample volumes (0.1 to 0.4 mL) and little processing in the field, which could facilitate the automation of sample collection in the future.
includes both point mutations and gene content variation, likely plays a major role in the collective functioning of complex microorganisms, their compositional dynamics in time and space, and resilience to environmental change.

A recent survey of all prokaryote genomes in NCBI databases identified a pronounced discontinuity between >95% ANI values found within named, nominal species and <83% ANI values found in interspecies comparisons, which was interpreted as an indication of evolutionary forces sustaining biological species-like cohorts of bacteria and archaea (Jain et al., 2018). We found no evidence for such discontinuity in GORG-Tropics (Figure 1A). This may indicate different patterns of microbial diversification in the ocean as compared to other environments. Alternatively, the elevated frequency of ANI >95% among genomes currently held in NCBI may reflect non-random genome sampling, with an over-representation of a small number of medically relevant lineages that were selected for sequencing based on the current, nominal species definitions and isolation techniques. The randomized cell selection approach used in our study offers an unbiased view of the genomic composition and evolutionary dynamics of the analyzed microorganisms.

**Figure 1. Genomic Diversity among GORG-Tropics SAGs**

(A) Pairwise Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) of SAGs with estimated completeness of ≥50%. The inset is an enlarged region of 85%-100% ANI. (B) Examples of gene content differences among SAGs with ANI >99.9%. Red bars indicate tRNA genes. Sequence coverage depth is provided for the aligned regions and ranges from 6 to >7,000.

**Representation of Global Prokaryoplankton by GORG-Tropics**

We recruited individual reads of 119 publicly available metagenomes from the tropical and subtropical epipelagic (Table S3) using a 95% nucleotide identity threshold to gauge how much of global prokaryoplankton diversity is represented in GORG-Tropics. This recruited 6.3%–72.6% (mean = 40.0%) of metagenome reads, indicating that GORG-Tropics contains a substantial fraction of the global prokaryoplankton coding potential at the nominal species resolution (Figure 2A). An average of 58% recruitment could be achieved by relaxing the nucleotide identity threshold, demonstrating that inter-study comparisons require uniform methods (Figure 2B). We observed strong metagenome fragment recruitment in the Indian Ocean despite using only samples from the Atlantic and Pacific to generate GORG-Tropics (Figure 2C). Metagenome recruitment was substantially lower, averaging 11%, in temperate and polar waters (Figure S1). These patterns are consistent with prior reports of water temperature and latitude being the primary drivers of the global distribution of marine planktonic bacteria, archaea and protists, and support the hypothesis that microbes can be dispersed longitudinally over long distances (Seeleuthner et al., 2018; Sunagawa et al., 2015; Swan et al., 2013).

GORG-Tropics substantially improved the recruitment of prokaryoplankton metagenomes as compared to other available
reference genome databases, including the recently published genomes of 3,726 marine prokaryotes (Klemetsen et al., 2018) and the complete sets of metagenome assembly bins produced from either exclusively marine metagenomes (Delmont et al., 2018; Tully et al., 2018) or all public metagenomes from diverse environments (Parks et al., 2017) (Figure 2A). Even GORG-BATS248, which consists of SAGs generated from a single, 0.4 mL water sample, outperformed metagenome bins that were produced from the global set of metagenome reads that was used in recruitment. This indicates that a substantial fraction of the global coding potential of marine prokaryoplankton resides in each tiny parcel of ocean water, due to effective mixing on a global scale. The observed improvement of prokaryoplankton representation by GORG-Tropics likely depends on the ability of single-cell genomics to recover variable genome regions in non-clonal populations. This corroborates our finding that close relatives are rare among randomly sampled cells (Figure 1A).

Next, we analyzed how well GORG-Tropics represents the global pool of prokaryoplankton coding sequences (CDS), which was recently estimated to comprise approximately 40 million clusters, based on the TARA Oceans shotgun metagenomics data (Sunagawa et al., 2015). The co-clustering of CDS from GORG-Tropics genomes and TARA Oceans tropical and subtropical metagenomes produced /C24 35 million clusters. About 1 million of these clusters, comprising 29% of all CDS, were shared between GORG and TARA, including >99.9% of clusters.

Figure 2. Recruitment of Reads from Tropical and Subtropical Epipelagic Metagenomes
(A) Fraction of reads recruited from each of the 119 public metagenomes against the following genome databases: (I) GORG-Tropics (current study), (II) GORG-BATS248 (current study), (III) all 98 marine isolates and SAGs sequenced by 2012 (Swan et al., 2013); (IV) 957 non-redundant metagenome bins from TARA Oceans (Delmont et al., 2018); (V) 2,631 non-redundant bins from TARA Oceans with estimated >50% completion and ≤10% contamination (Tully et al., 2018); (VI) 7,903 bins generated from all NCBI metagenomes (Parks et al., 2017); and (VII) all 3,726 marine prokaryotic genomes in the MarDB database (Klemetsen et al., 2018). Thresholds of ≥95% nucleotide identity and 100 bp alignment length were used in these analyses. (B) Fraction of reads recruited from each of the 119 public metagenomes against GORG-Tropics using various nucleotide identity thresholds and a minimum of 100 bp alignment length. (C) Geographic distribution of recruitment against GORG-Tropics at nucleotide identity ≥95%. Circle centers correspond to metagenome collection location. Geographic coordinates can be found in Table S1.
containing >1,000 CDS (Figure 3A). Thus, GORG-Tropics offers genomic context to the majority of abundant coding sequence clusters encoded by surface ocean prokaryoplankton in the tropics and subtropics.

Notably, 97% of all clusters had <10 CDS, while 12% of GORG-Tropics CDS and 24% of TARA CDS were singletons, consistent with the prevalence of rare genes in microorganisms from other environments (Wolf et al., 2016). We found that 56% of GORG-Tropics singletons were also present in the TARA dataset, indicating that they are not artifacts of techniques employed in single-cell genomics (GORG) or shotgun metagenomics (TARA). Rare genes were not enriched in eukaryotic or viral sequences (Table S4), implying that most of them do not originate from phage infections or contaminants from Eukarya. While the overall length of CDS peaked at 200 amino acids (aa), singleton length exhibited a bimodal distribution, with local maxima at around 50 aa and 200 aa length (Figure 3B). Examining GORG CDS specifically, we found no major differences in functional composition between the annotated singletons and clusters (Figure 3C). However, singletons were enriched in hypothetical proteins (Figure 3B). On average, the hypothetical CDS were shorter than CDS for which functional annotations could be obtained in both singletons and clusters (Figure 3D). Annotated singletons were not enriched in short CDS, providing no evidence for incomplete or degrading genes of known function to comprise a major part of rare genes in prokaryoplankton, although difficulties in annotating degraded genes may play a role. The distinct peak in abundance at 50 aa among unannotated singletons suggests that rare prokaryoplankton CDS may be enriched in short sequences of unknown function.

**Lineage-Resolved Genome Features of Marine Prokaryoplankton**

Complete or near-complete 16S rRNA gene sequences were recovered from 5,536 GORG-Tropics SAGs, enabling their taxonomic assignment to 20 phyla, 31 classes, 43 orders, 55 families, 49 genera, and 1 species of bacteria and archaea. The general taxonomic composition of GORG-Tropics is consistent with prior explorations of marine prokaryoplankton using 16S rRNA surveys and shotgun metagenomics (DeLong et al., 2006; Giovannoni et al., 1990), with the predominance of Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Cyanobacteria phyla, and with more than one third of the cells belonging to the lineage SAR11 Surface 1 (Figure 4, Table S5). Our results also highlight the numeric abundance of lineages such as AEGEAN-169 (4.8% of prokaryoplankton in the analyzed samples) that have received limited attention so far (Reintjes et al., 2019). Importantly, many complete and near-complete 16S rRNA genes from SAGs could not be assigned to SILVA database’s taxonomic ranks: classes (1.2%), orders (2.3%), families (11%), genera (72%), and species (99.98%). This demonstrates that a large fraction of marine prokaryoplankton remains taxonomically uncharted.

Over 40 distinct, previously defined prokaryoplankton lineages were represented by at least 10 members in GORG-Tropics (Figures 4 and 5, Table S5). Many of these lineages have no or few cultured representatives and no previously published genomes. Our data indicate that most of the prevalent lineages have small genomes (1-2 Mbp), low G+C content (29%-35%), and small cell diameters (0.2-0.5 μm) (Figures 4 and S2). These findings are consistent with previous reports of genome streamlining and small cell sizes of the cultured isolates of SAR11, the most abundant lineage of marine prokaryoplankton (Giovannoni, 2017). However, some lineages did not conform to this predominant pattern. For example, Arctic 97B-4 (Verrucomicrobia), OM60 (Gammaproteobacteria), KI89 (Gammaproteobacteria), E01-9C-26 (Gammaproteobacteria), and the Roseobacter cluster (Alphaproteobacteria) exhibited average genome sizes >3 Mbp, G+C content >45% and cell diameters >0.4 μm. This indicates specialized ecological niches and divergent adaptations among lineages with streamlined and non-streamlined genomes.
There was a positive correlation between cell size and genome size among the prokaryoplankton lineages (Figure 4A), which is in agreement with prior reports that examined non-marine environments and used different methods (Sorensen et al., 2019). This may be caused by both variables being constrained by selective pressures toward streamlining in the pelagic environment (Giovannoni et al., 2014).

Prochlorococcus and unclassified Synechococcaceae cyanobacteria formed some of the most pronounced outliers in the relationship between cell size and genome size, as they have small genomes (1.65 ± 0.12 and 1.64 ± 0.13 Mbp) despite comparatively large diameters (0.55 ± 0.20 and 0.70 ± 0.23 μm), which may be required to accommodate the photosynthetic machinery. On the opposite end of the spectrum, Verrucomicrobia lineage Arctic 97B-4 and Alphaproteobacteria lineage Roseobacter had similar or smaller cell size estimates than Prochlorococcus while possessing >4 Mbp genomes, with their larger genomes likely reflecting elevated metabolic versatility.

The number of CDS clusters encoded by specific lineages (pangenome size) correlated positively with the number of SAGs in a lineage, indicating that we have not exhausted pangenomes of any of these lineages (Table S5). However, lineages containing larger genomes tended to have a greater slope in the pangenome size relative to each new genome added to the analysis (Figures 4B and 4C). Most of the rare clusters are lineage-specific, displaying narrow phylogenetic distributions, and most of the genes in large pangenomes are rare (Table S5). Remarkably, we observed no signs of exhausting the pangenome pools of these lineages, with an average of ~45 new clusters added with each new SAG sequenced in lineages represented by >200 SAGs (Figures 4C and 4D).

**Coding Potential for Carbon and Nitrogen Fixation**

Microbial fixation of C and N into reduced, biologically accessible forms is essential to the productivity of marine ecosystems. By screening GORG-Tropics for key genetic markers, we identified the presence of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) form I genes, indicative of CO2 fixation via the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, in Cyanobacteria and in several lineages of Proteobacteria (Figure 5, Figure S3, Table S5). We identified RuBisCO form IC/D, the thiosulfate-induced cytochrome soxA, and bacteriochlorophyll genes in the Alphaproteobacteria lineage Ca. Luxescamonaceae, confirming...
the recent findings by Graham et al. (2018). This suggests that Ca. Luxescamonaceae may be capable of anoxygenic photosynthesis using reduced sulfur compounds as electron donors, in contrast to the well-documented process of anoxygenic phototrophy that does not result in net CO₂ fixation (discussed below). The composition of GORG-Tropics SAGs implies that Ca. Luxescamonaceae comprise ~1.1% of prokaryoplankton in tropical and subtropical epipelagic samples (Figure S2, Table S5) and are an order of magnitude more abundant than initially proposed (Graham et al., 2018). Additionally, both RuBisCO and bacteriochlorophyll genes were detected in two Parahaliaea (Gammaproteobacteria) SAGs. The discovery of two potential
photic, photolithotrophic lineages, one of which is rather abundant, is unexpected because anoxygenic photosynthesis is thought to rely on reduced sulfur compounds, which are found in low concentrations in oxygenated ocean (Ksionzek et al., 2016). Further studies will be required to confirm and quantify the genomics-predicted involvement of Ca. Luxescamonaceae and Parahaliella in anoxygenic photosynthesis.

The presence of genes for sulfur oxidation and RuBisCO indicated the potential for chemosynthesis in lineages SAR324, Litoricola and ZD405 (Table S3). This is consistent with previous observations that sulfur oxidation-based chemosynthesis is prevalent in the oxygenated ocean below the epipelagic region (Swan et al., 2011). Intriguingly, SAR11, which constitute a major fraction of marine prokaryoplankton, require reduced sulfur compounds for heterotrophic growth (Tripp et al., 2008). Collectively, this indicates a greater role for reduced sulfur in the biogeochemistry of oxygenated ocean than is currently assumed, potentially operating through cryptic cycles similar to those in hypoxic zones (Canfield et al., 2010).

Phototrophic light harvesting via rhodopsin and bacteriochlorophyll, which does not involve net carbon fixation, is utilized by aquatic microorganisms as a supplementary source of energy (Béja et al., 2000; Kobližek, 2015; Pinhasi et al., 2016). We found rhodopsin genes in 58% of all SAGs. Considering the 38% average genome recovery in GORG-Tropics SAGs (Table S1), this finding suggests that most prokaryoplankton cells in the analyzed samples had the potential for phototrophic photosynthesis. Among lineages with >10 SAGs, these genes were only absent from Nitrosopumilales (Thaumarchaeota), Arctic97B-4 (Verrucomicrobia) and Cyanobacteria. Furthermore, bacteriochlorophyll and type-II photochemical reaction centers, but not CO2 fixation pathways, were identified in Roseobacter (Alphaproteobacteria), OM60 (NOR5) (Gammaproteobacteria) and some rare lineages (Table S5). This reinforces the prevalence of non-photosynthetic harvesting of solar energy in prokaryoplankton, which was recently suggested to absorb a similar amount of solar energy as chlorophyll-a-based photosynthesis (Gómez-Consarnau et al., 2019).

We found no evidence for N2 fixation pathways in any of the analyzed SAGs, including 17 members of the Planctomycetes phylum. This stands in contrast to a recent report of planktonic Planctomycetes being involved in nitrogen fixation (Delmont et al., 2018) and suggests that the capacity for nitrogen fixation among free-living prokaryoplankton in the oxygenated epipelagic ocean is rare, as might be expected from the high demand for energy and Fe and the sensitivity to O2 of this process. In agreement with the established role of Thaumarchaeota in ammonium oxidation (Francis et al., 2005; Köneke et al., 2005; Wuchter et al., 2006), we found ammonia monoxygenase genes in Nitrosopumilales (Table S5). No SAGs contained the genes required for commamox, the complete oxidation of ammonia to nitrate (Daims et al., 2015), suggesting that commamox is likely not significant in the euhaptonic, oxygenated, tropical ocean. Similarly, no SAGs were found to contain nitrite oxidoreductase, in agreement with previous studies reporting that nitrite oxidizing bacteria are scarce in the euphotic ocean, since they are outcompeted by phytoplankton (Smith et al., 2014; Zakem et al., 2018). Respiratory nitrate reductase (narG) and nitrous oxide reductase (norZ), indicative of denitrification, were found in a small number of SAGs. narG was detected in genomes belonging to Alphaproteobacterial lineage Roseobacter and Gammaproteobacteria lineages SAR92 and ZD405, while norZ was recovered in Bacteroidetes lineages Mariniscillum, NS2b, NS4, NS5 and NS9. All but one of the genomes encoding denitrification genes originated from a single, oxygen-depleted sample in the East Tropical South Pacific (Table S1) suggesting a localized distribution. Cosmopolitan lineages likely adapt to local low oxygen conditions by acquiring genes that enable the use of alternative electron acceptors, as shown recently for SAR11 (Tsentesi et al., 2016). These findings highlight the utility of large-scale, randomized single-cell genomics to identify the potential of specific microbial lineages to contribute to biogeochemically important processes.

Lineage-Resolved Biosynthetic Gene Clusters

Secondary metabolites are important in microbial ecology and are utilized by humans as sources of antibiotics, anti-cancer drugs and other therapeutic compounds (Fenical and Jensen, 2006; Gerwick and Moore, 2012). To date, secondary metabolites in bacteria associated with marine sediments, corals, tunicates, and sponges have received the most attention, while studies of prokaryoplankton have been limited in phylogenetic scope, and primarily focused on cultivated isolates (Fenical and Jensen, 2006; Gerwick and Moore, 2012). In an effort to bridge this knowledge gap, we applied the genome mining tool antiSMASH (Blin et al., 2017) on the GORG-Tropics dataset. This uncovered, in a quantitative and phylogenetically resolved manner, a remarkably diverse suite of predicted gene clusters for the biosynthesis of terpenes, bacteriocins, polyketides, aminopolynes, phosphonates, lassoepetides, microcins, ectoines, non-ribosomal peptides, N-acyl homoserine lactones and other secondary metabolites (Figure 5, Table S5).

Although Actinobacteria from soils and marine sediments have served as the primary microbial source of bioactive compounds in biotechnology (Rigali et al., 2018), we found that the two most abundant Actinobacteria lineages in marine prokaryoplankton are among the most deplete in biosynthetic gene clusters (Figure 5, Table S5). Only terpene synthesis clusters were found in the Sva0996 lineage, while no recognizable biosynthetic clusters were found in Actinomarina. This is consistent with the genome sizes of Actinomarina and Sva0996 being some of the smallest among prokaryoplankton lineages (Figures 4 and S2, Table S5), although we cannot exclude the possibility that some secondary metabolite clusters escaped detection. Interestingly, some of the uncultured lineages, such as SAR324 (Deltaproteobacteria), Arctic97B-4 (Verrucomicrobia) and Marinamargulisbacteria (Margulisbacteria) encoded among the most diverse sets of biosynthetic clusters, which suggests potential targets for future studies.

Terpene clusters were found in most prokaryoplankton lineages (Figure 5, Table S5), in agreement with a recent report identifying them in many bacterial genomes in public databases (Yamada et al., 2015). Of particular relevance in terms of therapeutic potential was the observed diversity of polyketide synthase genes (PKSs), constituting markers of one of the major
classes of natural products (Helfrich et al., 2019; Hertweck, 2009). Many of the Type I PKS systems shared >80% identity with known PKS-type polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) synthases, which have commercial markets for both prescription drug and nutraceutical applications (Calder, 2015). Several Type I PKS pathways contained conserved 4’-phosphopantetheinyltransferases (PPTases), particularly those from the Sfp superfamily specific for secondary metabolism (Beld et al., 2014). An interesting example of a modular type I PKS cluster was found in SAG AG-912-B08, where the presence of trans-acyltransferase (AT) domains suggested the potential biosynthesis of macrolides, a class of natural products well known for therapeutic utility (Karpinski, 2019) but with unknown function in the oceans. The GORG-Tropics SAGs also contained multiple hybrid, non-ribosomal peptide synthase (NRPS)-Type I PKS and trans-AT PKS systems, natural product classes that have demonstrated utility as antibiotics and chemotherapeutics (Amoutzias et al., 2016; Helfrich et al., 2019; Hertweck, 2009). Several of the NRPS pathways, e.g., in the Bacteroidetes SAG AG-313-C05, displayed biosynthetic elements for siderophores, small molecule iron chelators secreted to scavenge growth-limiting metals (Hider and Kong, 2010). This is just a small selection of the thousands of biosynthetic gene clusters identified in the GORG-Tropics SAGs.

The observed abundance and diversity of biosynthetic clusters in marine prokaryoplankton is surprising, considering their generally small genomes (Figures 4 and S2, Table S5) and dilute environment, where intercellular communication and warfare may be less effective than in biofilms and other, more crowded settings. Thus, consideration should be given to the potential for the products of these biosynthetic clusters to play yet unknown, intracellular and intercellular roles. The general patterns highlight how large-scale single-cell genomics enables a methodical exploration of biosynthetic capabilities of uncultured microorganisms. Our findings may facilitate the generation of new hypotheses leading to novel insights into the roles of secondary metabolites in microbial interactions in nature as well as translate practical applications for biotechnological and medicinal applications. Cultivation-independent research tools are becoming essential in studies of chemical ecology and bioprospecting (Gerwick and Moore, 2012; Harvey et al., 2015). In contrast to meta-omics, single-cell genomics recovers complex biosynthetic clusters from an individual cell, which may improve the characterization of variable regions of these clusters, ensure the compatibility of co-dependent genes and help selecting suitable heterologous expression systems as well as aid in the design of more effective methods for laboratory culturing.

GORG-Tropics as a Reference Database for Prokaryoplankton Meta-omics

To improve the utility of this dataset, we created a computational pipeline - the GORG Classifier - which facilitates interpretation of meta-omics data using the GORG-Tropics SAGs as a reference. This tool integrates GORG-Tropics into Kaiju (Menzel et al., 2016) to produce taxonomic and functional annotations of shotgun metagenomes, metatranscriptomes and metaproteome peptide sequences. Evaluation of the performance of the GORG Classifier was conducted by analyzing pre-annotated, mock metagenomes of prokaryoplankton from the tropical versus temperate epipelagic ocean. Mock metagenomes were produced by generating new, randomized SAG datasets separate from GORG-Tropics, and then computationally shredding them to imitate Illumina shotgun reads. These mock metagenomes were analyzed with the GORG Classifier, with either GORG-Tropics or the NCBI non-redundant database (nr) serving as a reference database. The taxonomic and functional assignments obtained were compared to the values expected from the source SAG annotations.

We found that the GORG-Tropics database substantially improved the sensitivity and accuracy of both taxonomic and functional assignments of the tropical epipelagic mock metagenome reads (Figure 6A). The accuracy of taxonomic assignments was improved at all levels, with lower taxonomic levels showing the greatest improvement. For example, GORG-Tropics enabled correct genus-level classification of 83% reads while keeping the error rate at <0.1%, as compared to only 28% reads accurately classified with the NCBI nr database. The functional
assignments showed an even more dramatic improvement, where GORG-Tropics enabled accurate annotation of 86% reads, as compared to only 0.15% reads being correctly annotated with Prokka (Seemann, 2014). This workflow enables both functional and taxonomic annotation of individual, short reads without the computationally expensive and error-prone assembly and binning steps, while retaining the quantitative aspect of raw read data. The annotation improvements offered by GORG-Tropics were limited to mock metagenomes from the tropical and subtropical epipelagic ocean and did not extend into temperate regions, where erroneous taxonomic assignments were prevalent with both nr and GORG-Tropics databases (Figure 6B). This is consistent with the global patterns of metagenome fragment recruitment in this study (Figure 2) and earlier findings of prokaryoplankton differing among tropical, temperate and polar regions, as well as the deep ocean (DeLong et al., 2006; Mende et al., 2017; Swan et al., 2011, 2013).

**DISCUSSION**

Our expansive, randomized single-cell genomics approach enabled quantitative analyses of the distribution of hereditary material in prokaryoplankton of tropical and subtropical epipelagic ecosystem, a complex microbiome that plays a key role in global biogeochemical cycles, in unprecedented detail. We found all 12,715 sequenced cells to be genomically unique and a large fraction of them taxonomically uncharted. We also observed a substantial portion of the global prokaryoplankton pangenome in a single, 0.4 mL ocean water sample. These findings provide a new perspective on the genomic complexity and organization of microbiomes in nature. In particular, each cell’s genomic uniqueness offers possible explanations for the large pangenomes of marine microbial lineages and challenges in their separation into metagenome bins.

The approach we employed here enabled the first methodical, lineage-resolved survey of gene clusters involved in energy, nitrogen and secondary metabolisms. This confirmed an earlier finding of the genomic potential for aerobic anoxygenic photosynthesis in Ca. Luxescamonaceae, and showed that this lineage of Alphaproteobacteria is substantially more abundant than previously thought. The abundance and diversity of the identified biosynthetic clusters suggested an importance of secondary metabolites in the dilute environment of free-living prokaryoplankton and offered a bioprospecting roadmap for biotechnology applications.

Utilized as a reference database, GORG-Tropics enabled accurate assignment of both taxonomy and predicted functions to the majority of individual metagenome reads from the tropical and subtropical epipelagic, which was not possible before. We expect the GORG-Tropics to serve as a useful resource for marine microbiology. We also propose that randomized single-cell genomics should serve as a new, instrumental approach for studies of soil, plant, mammalian and other microbiomes in order to fill our major knowledge gaps about these important microbial players in the functioning of diverse ecosystems and macroorganisms, as well as in climate change and other global processes (Cavicchioli et al., 2019).
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### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reagents and Kits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYTO-9</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# S34854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RedoxSensor Green</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# B34954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EquiPhi29™ DNA Polymerase</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# EP131B001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs</td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
<td>Cat# N0447L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nextera XT library preparation</td>
<td>Illumina</td>
<td>Cat# FC-131-1096, FC-131-200(1-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA purification</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Cat# 28006, 28115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illumina library size selection</td>
<td>Sage Science</td>
<td>Cat# BDF1510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library quantification</td>
<td>Agilent</td>
<td>Cat# 5067-5584, 5067-5585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genomic sequencing</td>
<td>Illumina</td>
<td>Cat# FC-404-2003, FC-404-2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GORG-Tropics</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/PCWJ9">https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/PCWJ9</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy SAGs</td>
<td>Swan et al., 2013</td>
<td><a href="https://www.pnas.org/content/110/28/11463">https://www.pnas.org/content/110/28/11463</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara-MAGs Delmont</td>
<td>Delmont et al., 2018</td>
<td><a href="https://figshare.com/articles/TARA-NON-REDUNDANT-MAGs-SUMMARY/4902926">https://figshare.com/articles/TARA-NON-REDUNDANT-MAGs-SUMMARY/4902926</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarDB</td>
<td>Klemetsen et al., 2018</td>
<td><a href="https://mmp.sfb.uit.no/databases/">https://mmp.sfb.uit.no/databases/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara metagenomes</td>
<td>Sunagawa et al., 2015</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB1787">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB1787</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAdes version 3.9.0</td>
<td>Bankevich et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/ablab/spades">https://github.com/ablab/spades</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FastQC version 0.11.2</td>
<td>Babraham Bioinformatics</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC">https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>checkM version 2.1.0</td>
<td>Parks et al., 2015</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/Ecogenomics/CheckM">https://github.com/Ecogenomics/CheckM</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetramer frequency analysis</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/BigelowLab/tetramerpcapy">https://github.com/BigelowLab/tetramerpcapy</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREST</td>
<td>Lanzen et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/lanza/CREST">https://github.com/lanza/CREST</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINA aligner</td>
<td>Pruesse et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/epruesse/SINA">https://github.com/epruesse/SINA</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGA 6.0</td>
<td>Tamura et al., 2013</td>
<td><a href="https://www.megasoftware.net">https://www.megasoftware.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAxML version 8.2.10</td>
<td>Stamatakis, 2014</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/stamatak/standard-RAxML">https://github.com/stamatak/standard-RAxML</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GToTree</td>
<td>Lee, 2019</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/AstrobioMike/GToTree">https://github.com/AstrobioMike/GToTree</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prokka version 1.13.3</td>
<td>Seemann, 2014</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/tseemann/prokka">https://github.com/tseemann/prokka</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AntiSMASH 4.0</td>
<td>Blin et al., 2017</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/antismash/antismash">https://github.com/antismash/antismash</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGA X</td>
<td>Kumar et al., 2018</td>
<td><a href="https://www.megasoftware.net">https://www.megasoftware.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flash version 1.2.11</td>
<td>Magoč and Salzberg, 2011</td>
<td><a href="https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/FLASH/">https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/FLASH/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seqtk version 1.0-r75-dirty</td>
<td>Heng Li</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/lh3/seqtk">https://github.com/lh3/seqtk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bwa version 0.7.12</td>
<td>Li et al., 2009</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/lh3/bwa">https://github.com/lh3/bwa</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>samtools version 1.9</td>
<td>Li et al., 2009</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/samtools/samtools">https://github.com/samtools/samtools</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pysam</td>
<td>Multiple developers</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/pysam-developers/pysam">https://github.com/pysam-developers/pysam</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sag-mg-recruit</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/BigelowLab/sag-mg-recruit">https://github.com/BigelowLab/sag-mg-recruit</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ggplot2 (R package)</td>
<td>Wickham, 2011</td>
<td><a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maps (R package)</td>
<td>Multiple developers</td>
<td><a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/maps/index.html">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/maps/index.html</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources, reagents, and scripts should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Ramunas Stepanauskas, (rstepanauskas@bigelow.org).

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Field sample collection
Aquatic samples were collected using Niskin bottles from the epipelagic zone at 20 tropical and subtropical locations in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans (Figure 2A; Table S1). 1-2 mL aliquots of raw seawater were transferred to sterile cryovials, amended with 10% (final concentration) glycerol for cryoprotection, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C.

METHOD DETAILS

Single amplified genome (SAG) generation
The generation, sequencing, de novo assembly, annotation and quality control of SAGs were performed at the Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences’ Single Cell Genomics Center (scgc.bigelow.org). First, we utilized SAGs generated in an earlier study (Berube et al., 2018), where one 384-well microplate of SAGs was generated from 24 field samples (Table S1). Additional SAGs were generated from four samples collected during the cruise BULA (Table S1), one microplate per sample. The cryopreserved seawater samples were thawed and pre-filtered through a 40 μm mesh size cell strainer (Becton Dickinson). In order to discriminate heterotrophic bacteria and extracellular particles, seawater samples were incubated with the SYTO-9 DNA stain (5 μM final concentration; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 10-60 min, after which the particle green fluorescence (proxy for nucleic acid content), light forward scatter (proxy for size), and the ratio of green versus red fluorescence (for improved discrimination of cells from detrital particles) were used to define the sort gate. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), cell diameter determination, cells lysis and whole genome amplification with WGA-X were performed as previously described (Stepanauskas et al., 2017).

To gain a deeper understanding of prokaryoplankton coding potential within a single sample, 37 additional microplates of SAGs were generated from a single cryovial of sample BATS248. Twenty of these plates were generated by cell sorting based on the SYTO-9 stain as above, but the sort gate was inclusive of particles with fluorescence spectra typical to Synechococcus. Ten of the additional BATS248 microplates were incubated with an alternative probe RedoxSensor Green (1 μM final concentration for 10-40 min at room temperature; Thermo Fisher Scientific), which targets viable cells (Stepanauskas et al., 2017). The final seven supplementary microplates of BATS248 were generated by sorting particles that fell below the typical prokaryote sort gate on the SYTO-9 fluorescence axis. For all but five prokaryoplankton lineages there was no statistically significant difference in the relative abundance among SAGs generated with SYTO-9 and RedoxSensor Green probes (Welsh two sample t test, p > 0.5; Figure S5).

However, to avoid potential methodological biases, only SAGs that were generated with the SYTO-9 stain and the typical prokaryoplankton sort gate were used in the quantitative analyses of prokaryoplankton lineages. All 37 supplementary BATS248 SAG plates
and all four plates of equatorial SAGs from the BULA expedition were generated with an extended spectrum of index FACS size calibration (Stepanauskas et al., 2017), which included a Pelagibacter ubique calibration culture, allowing us to accurately size prokaryotic cells in the range of 0.2-2.0 μm.

**Sequencing and de novo assembly of SAGs**

All SAGs were subject to Low Coverage Sequencing (LoCoS) (Stepanauskas et al., 2017), after which 150 SAGs with lowest Cp values from each plate were selected for deeper, post-LoCoS sequencing. While LoCoS generated a variable number of 2×150bp reads per SAG, with an average of ~300k, the post-LoCoS sequencing produced >2M reads for each selected SAG. The goal of this selection and sequencing strategy was to deduce a deeper, post-LoCoS sequencing effort to a taxonomically unbiased set of SAGs with the highest potential for good genome recovery, based on the previously observed negative correlation between WGA-X Cp and subsequent genome recovery (Stepanauskas et al., 2017). We found no significant taxonomic differences between SAGs with high and low WGA-X Cp values, providing indications that this strategy does not introduce compositional biases during this selection process (χ²-test, p > 0.05; Figure S6). SAG paired-end libraries were created with Nextera XT kits (Illumina), sequenced with a NextSeq 500 (Illumina) and de novo assembled using a workflow utilizing SPAdes (Bankevich et al., 2012), as previously described (Stepanauskas et al., 2017). The quality of the sequencing reads was assessed using FastQC and the quality of the assembled genomes was assessed using checkM (Parks et al., 2015) and tetramer frequency analysis (Woyke et al., 2009). This workflow was previously evaluated for assembly errors using three bacterial benchmark cultures with diverse genome complexity and %GC, indicating no non-target and undefined bases in the assemblies and average frequencies of mis-assembly, indels and mismatches per 100 kbp being 1.5, 3.0 and 5.0 (Stepanauskas et al., 2017).

Although the single-cell genomes in this dataset were screened for contamination introduced during cell sorting and DNA amplification, users should be aware that these screening procedures may not completely eliminate the potential for multiple genomes being present in the same assembly. Some SAGs, for example, may be derived from cells infected with a bacteriophage (i.e., virocells) and thus contain both host and virus genomes. Other single cells may contain multiple genomes due to a close physical association between two cells that resulted in co-sorting and co-amplification of DNA.

**Taxonomic and functional annotation of SAG assemblies**

16S rRNA gene regions longer than 500 bp were identified using local alignments provided by BLAST against CREST’s (Lanzén et al., 2012) curated SILVA reference database SILVAMod v128 and taxonomic assignments were based on a reimplementation of CREST’s last common ancestor algorithm. The taxonomic assignments were used to group SAGs into lineages. Lineage clustering was performed by grouping SAGs with the same SILVA affiliation and the name of lineages correspond to their name of lowest rank in SILVA. In this manuscript, we report lineages that have 10 or more representatives, with the exception of SAP202, Marinamargulis-bacteria and NKB19. The latter lineages have fewer than 10 representatives. Marinamargulisbacteria were initially annotated as ML635J-21 Cyanobacteria (k__Bacteria;p__Cyanobacteria;c__ML635J-21;o__?;f__?;g__?;s__?) and NKB19 did not receive taxonomic annotation below Superkingdom level (k__Bacteria;p__?;c__?;o__?;f__?;g__?;s__?). For these two lineages, near full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences were aligned with the SAG aligner (Pruesse et al., 2012) with a curated Bacterial domain 16S rRNA gene phylogeny clustered at an operational taxonomic unit (OTU) threshold of ≥85% nucleotide identity. Maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenies were created with MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013) using the General Time Reversible (GTR) Model, with Gamma distribution with invariant sites (G+I), and 95% partial deletion for 100 replicate bootstraps. If the SAG 16S rRNA gene sequence had ≥85% nucleotide identity to an unclassified 16S rRNA gene sequence in the database, and phylogenetically clustered with those sequences (i.e., shared a monophyletic node), it was classified as the corresponding candidate phylum.

For Unclassified Rhodobacteraceae, a phylogenetic approach to refine the taxonomy was also applied. The ≥1,300 bp 16S rRNA gene sequences of the 84 SAGs that were initially annotated as “Rhodobacteraceae Unclassified” by CREST were combined with 222 cultured representatives of various Alphaproteobacteria families, aligned using SINA (Pruesse et al., 2012), and used to construct a ML tree with RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014). 16S rRNA gene sequences of Unclassified Rhodobacteraceae SAGs that were shorter than 1,300 bp were then placed in the RAxML tree. The initially Unclassified Rhodobacteraceae SAGs formed two distinct, bootstrap-supported clades (bootstrap value >90). Metabolic gene content and whole genome trees verified that one of the clades was the recently described Ca. Luxescamonaceae. For the concatenated protein tree, 13 SAGs with 17 other Alphaproteobacteria genomes that were obtained from the NCBI, including 4 MAGs identified as Ca. Luxescamonaceae by Graham et al. (2018) were used for phylogeny. The GToTree phylogenomic workflow (Lee, 2019) was utilized to determine phylogeny of these genomes using a HMM set of 117 single copy gene targets for Alphaproteobacteria. Genomes containing at least half of the total single copy gene targets were kept for further analysis and downstream phylogenetic placement. A ML phylogenetic tree based on the final concatenated SCG sets was generated using FastTree version 2.1.10 (Price et al., 2009) with the default parameters (Figure S4). Table S2 contains the SILVA taxonomy and the refined lineage assignment of each SAG.

Functional annotation was first performed using Prokka (Seemann, 2014) with default Swiss-Prot databases supplied by the software. Prokka was run a second time with a custom protein annotation database built from compiling Swiss-Prot (Bateman et al., 2017) entries for Archaea and Bacteria. The output of Prokka and the secondary annotation were joined into a single, tab-delimited table with headers identifying the origin of the assignment. Biosynthetic pathways were identified using AntiSMASH 4.0 (Blin et al., 2017), with KnownClusterBlast, ActiveSiteFinder and SubClusterBlast options.
To generate a RuBisCO tree, all RuBisCO sequences from the GORG-Tropics SAGs, 10 sequences of marine MAGs, and sequences from 72 cultured representatives (Figure S3) were aligned with ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994). The RuBisCO tree was constructed with MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018) using the ML and the Le Gascuel 2008 model (Le and Gascuel, 2008). Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (6 categories (+G, parameter = 1.4194)). The rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily invariable ([+I], 1.27% sites). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 317 amino acid sequences. All positions with less than 75% site coverage were eliminated.

All unique bacterial 16S sequences from GORG-Tropics were aligned by SINA (Pruesse et al., 2012) and a ML tree with the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura, 1980) was constructed using MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018). Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood approach, and then selecting the topology with the superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (4 categories (+G, parameter = 0.6966)). The rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily invariable ([+I], 18.34% sites). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured as the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 2,898 nucleotide sequences. All positions with less than 75% site coverage were eliminated.

**Metagenomic fragment recruitment**

We calculated the percentage of read fragments recruited from 119 publically available marine metagenomes (Table S3; Figure 2A) from epipelagic tropical and subtropical oceanic regions against seven genomic databases: (I) GORG-Tropics v1 (current study), (II) GORG-BATS248 (current study), (III) All 98 marine isolates and SAGs sequenced before 2013 (Swan et al., 2013); (IV) 957 nonredundant metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) from TARA Oceans (Delmont et al., 2018); (V) 2,631 nonredundant good quality (completion ≥ 50% and contamination ≤ 10%) MAGs from TARA Oceans (Tully et al., 2018); (VI) 7,903 MAGs from all NCBI metagenomes (Parks et al., 2017); and (VII) all 3,726 marine prokaryotic genomes in MarDB database (Klemetsen et al., 2018). The methodological details of the recruitment are reported in detail previously (Pachiadaki et al., 2017). In brief, paired metagenomic reads were joined using flash version 1.2.11 with the following parameters: -x 0.05 -m 20 -M 150 (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011). Successfully joined metagenomic reads were subsampled to 10^6 reads using seqtk and were aligned to a concatenated file containing all genomes from each of the aforementioned databases using bwa mem with the default parameters (Li et al., 2009). Read alignment files were filtered using samtools (Li et al., 2009) and pysam to identify reads aligning at 95% percent identity over a minimum alignment length of 100 nt. Results were visualized using the ggplot2 package in R. For the visualization of the biogeographical distribution of the metagenomic recruitment, the R packages maps and mapdata were used. The percent of reads aligned against the GORG-Tropics database at various percent identity thresholds (100, 98, 95, 92, 90, 88, 85, 80 and 70) was also calculated.

**Gene clustering**

All coding sequences (CDS) from TARA Oceans tropical and subtropical epipelagic samples (Sunagawa et al., 2015) were downloaded from EMBL and translated into amino acid sequences (92,128,162 sequences). GORG-Tropics protein sequences (8,589,814 sequences) were called using prodigal with the “-p meta” flag to mimic protein calling used for metagenomic samples (Hyatt et al., 2010). TARA and GORG proteins were then combined and clustered using the linclust clustering method (80% identity threshold and 80 kmers) within the MMseqs2 software package (Steinegger and Söding, 2017). Clustering parameters were selected to reflect the stringent parameters used for previously reported cluster analyses for TARA microbial metagenomic data (Sunagawa et al., 2015). We attempted to replicate previous clustering methods exactly, but ran into computational resource limitations and instead found MMseqs2 to be a more efficient clustering tool. The amino acid length for singletons and cluster seed sequences from clusters with >10 members were used to generate Figure 3B using seaborn and matplotlib python packages for plotting.

For functional examination of singleton sequences and clusters from GORG-Tropics, and for lineage-specific pangenome analyses, all translated CDS from GORG-Tropics SAGs called by Prokka and functionally annotated as described above were combined and clustered once again, using the linclust clustering method (80% identity threshold and 80 kmers) within the MMseqs2 software package.

To draw rarefaction curves (Figure 3C) for each lineage, CDS were randomly sampled from the MMseqs2 output tsv file and determined to be either a member of a previously sampled cluster, or a new cluster. This was repeated until all CDS from each lineage were sampled. Results were plotted as the number of sequences sampled versus the number of clusters accumulated for each lineage using the matplotlib python plotting library. To calculate the number of clusters added per genome (Figure 3D), rarefaction curves were drawn similarly to Figure 3C, except that sequences were sampled per randomly selected SAG. A linear regression was calculated for the last 10 selected SAGs per lineage against the number of accumulated clusters added per SAG, and the calculated slope was recorded as the rate of CDS clusters added per genome. This was repeated 10 times per lineage, to account for variability in genome completeness among randomly sampled SAGs. The average rate of CDS clusters added per genome was used for plotting and reporting.
Average nucleotide identity (ANI) and synteny analyses
Paiwise ANI was calculated for all GORG-Tropics SAGs with greater than 50% completeness using fastANI with default parameters (Jain et al., 2018). ANI distributions were plotted using seaborn and matplotlib python packages. Synonymous and non-synonymous mutations were assessed by conducting all against all BLASTP searches between pairs of SAGs that shared ≥ 99% ANI using a 95% sequence identity cut-off. Selected sequence pairs were aligned using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) with default parameters. Using the PAL2NAL tool (Suyama et al., 2006), the nucleotide sequences that correspond with each of the aligned protein sequence pairs were converted into codon alignments. The resulting codon alignment pairs were used to estimate synonymous and non-synonymous substitution ratios using the YN00 program from PAML4.8 with an implementation of the Yang and Nielsen 2000 method (Yang, 2007; Yang and Nielsen, 2000).

GORG-Tropics database for Kaiju
The annotated assemblies of SAGs from which the 16S rRNA gene was recovered were compiled into a GORG-Tropics reference database for implementation in Kaiju, a computational tool for meta-omics read annotation (Menzel et al., 2016). The database consists of contigs (GORG_v1.fasta), gene sequences (GORG_v1_<taxonomy>.faa), Kaiju indexes based on NCBI taxonomy (GORG_v1_NCBI.fmi) and SILVAmod taxonomy (GORG_v1_CREST.fmi), and a text reference file linking contig, gene, gene coordinates, and functional annotations to gene sequence headers (GORG_v1.tsv). The link between gene sequence references and the Kaiju indexes allows both taxonomic and functional annotation. Annotation of DNA or amino acid sequences is performed using Kaiju against GORG’s index (-m 11 -e 3). Using Kaiju’s supplemental method addTaxonNames, the taxonomic lineage can be added based on the selected index. Names (names.dmp) and nodes (nodes.dmp) definitions per taxonomy are required by Kaiju and each are supplied. Using the GORG tabular annotation data, Kaiju hits are mapped to their respective annotated SAG assembly, from which complete functional annotations are retrieved, including enzyme commission number, gene identifiers, and gene product descriptions.

Evaluation of GORG-based metagenome annotation
We employed mock metagenome datasets to evaluate classifications based on the GORG reference database. New libraries of prokaryoplankton SAGs were generated, LoCoS-sequenced and annotated from one tropical epipelagic and one temperate epipelagic sample (Table S1), two 384-well microplates per sample, following the same procedures as described above. For this purpose, the tropical epipelagic water sample was collected from 80 m depth in the central Atlantic Ocean (22°48′36.0″ N, 46°03′37.8″ W) on October 14, 2017, during the AT39-01 North Pond CORKs research cruise. The temperate epipelagic sample was collected from 1 m depth in the Gulf of Maine (43°51′37.72″ N, 69°34′41.25″ W) on April 12, 2017. In both cases, one microplate of SAGs was generated by sorting cells in a typical prokaryote gate using the SYTO-9 stain, and one microplate of SAGs was generated using the RedoxSensor Green probe, as described above. This resulted in 211 tropical and 124 temperate SAGs from which the 16S rRNA genes were retrieved. The 18S-containing assemblies were taxonomically and functionally annotated in the same way as GORG-Tropics SAGs and then computationally shredded into 150-280 basepair shreds using the bbtools script randomreads.sh, resulting in approximately 23 and 7 million mock metagenome reads from each of the environments, corresponding to >20× coverage. The script’s default parameter “adderrors=t” introduced substitution errors in the obtained mock metagenomic reads that are typical to the Illumina sequencing technology. The reads were analyzed with Kaiju with either GORG-Tropics or NCBI nr as its underlying database, and the obtained taxonomic and functional assignments were compared to the expected values, based on the source SAG annotations.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Statistical significance was determined through t test or $X^2$-test as reported in the method detail section. All computational and statistical analyses were conducted using the aforementioned referenced open source software tools.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY
GORG-Tropics database genomes are available at NCBI under bioproject ID GenBank: PRJEB33281 and at Open Science Framework under OSF: PCWJ9, https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/PCWJ9. Mock metagenomes are also available at Open Science Framework under OSF: PCWJ9, https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/PCWJ9. As previously reported (Berube et al., 2018), ancillary physical, chemical, and biological data associated with the dataset can be accessed from C-MORE (http://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/cmoreDS/), HOT (http://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/hot/hot-dogs/), BATS (http://bats.bios.edu/), GEOTRACES (http://www.geotraces.org/), and SCOPE (http://scope.soest.hawaii.edu/data/) using the sample metadata available in Table S1.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES
This study did not generate additional resources.
Figure S1. Related to Figure 2
Fraction of reads recruited on GORG-Tropics from publicly accessible metagenomes that were obtained from marine prokaryoplankton samples collected from latitudes 40° N and above (North, n = 21), 40° S and above (South, n = 31), and between 40° S and 40° N (Tropics, n = 119). Thresholds of 95% nucleotide identity and 100 bp alignment length were applied.
Figure S2. Related to Figure 4

(A–D) Characteristics of prokaryoplankton lineages with the relative abundance ≥1% in GORG-Tropics. Displayed are the relative abundance (A), G+C content (B), estimated genome size (C), and estimated cell diameter (D). The relative abundance of each lineage was calculated by dividing the number of SAGs belonging to a specific lineage by the total number of the 16S-identified prokaryotic SAGs. In A, provided are means, medians, standard deviations and ranges of values calculated for each analyzed sample. Only SAGs with estimated completeness of ≥50% were included in the estimates of G+C content and genome size. Plots B-D display means, medians, standard deviations, ranges and distribution of values calculated for individual SAGs.
Figure S3. Related to Figure 5
Phylogenetic tree of the RuBisCO genes from GORG-Tropics SAGs (in bold), TARA Oceans metagenome bins, and cultured isolates. Stars indicate SAGs that encode at least one of the genes chlorophyllide reductase 52.5 kDa chain (bchY) or reaction center protein M chain (pufM), which are indicators of bacteriochlorophyll. Although these two genes were not recovered in SAG AG-470-I23 (lineage “Parahaliea”), other essential genes in bacteriochlorophyll synthesis were detected. The high sequence identity of the RuBisCO genes from Parahaliea SAGs and the metagenomic bin TMED255 suggests that the originally reported taxonomic assignment of this bin to Euryarchaeota is likely incorrect.
Figure S4. Related to Figure 5
Phylogenetic relationships among Ca. Luxescamonaceae (Alphaproteobacteria) SAGs and their closest relatives in GORG-Tropics and public databases. The maximum likelihood tree was constructed using Fasttree from a concatenated set of 117 single copy genes. Grey circles represent bootstrap values $\geq 0.75$. 
Figure S5. Related to STAR Methods Section “Single Amplified Genome (SAG) Data Generation”
Relative abundance of prokaryoplankton lineages among GORG-BATS248 SAGs that were generated using either SYTO-9 (a nucleic acid stain) or RedoxSensor Green (RSG; cell viability probe) for cell sorting. Provided are means, medians, standard deviations and ranges of values calculated for each 384-well plate. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the two treatments.
Figure S6. Related to STAR Methods Section “Single Amplified Genome (SAG) Data Generation”

Taxonomic composition of GORG-Tropics SAGs with WGA-X Cp values below and above 3 h. Only SAGs from which 16S rRNA genes were recovered and which were generated using the typical prokaryote cell sort gate, one microplate per field sample, were included in this comparison. This dataset included 3,181 SAGs with WGA-X Cp < 3 and 19 SAGs with Cp >3. We found no significant taxonomic differences between the two Cp categories ($X^2$-test, p > 0.05).